

**FACULTY SENATE MEETING
Monday, 1 April 2002 - 3:30 p.m.
272 Bascom Hall**

MINUTES

The meeting was called to order by Chancellor John Wiley at 3:34 p.m. with 143 voting members present.

1. Memorial resolutions were presented for:

Assistant Professor Emeritus Zigmund M. Arawinko
Professor Emeritus Carl A. Baumann
Professor Emeritus David Tredway Graham
Professor Emeritus Oliver E. Nelson, Jr.
Professor Emeritus Van Rensselaer Potter II
Professor Emeritus Glen C. Pulver
Professor Emerita Eugenia A. Schoen

2. Announcements/Informational Items.

Chancellor John Wiley announced that ballots for faculty-elected committees should be deposited in the ballot boxes at the door or mailed to the Office of the Secretary of the Faculty.

The chancellor spoke briefly about the cancellation of the meeting between members from the University Committee and the Board of Regents regarding the faculty's concern about academic due process (see 4 March 2002 senate minutes). The meeting was cancelled due to UW System legal counsel concern about the regents discussing a case, or aspects thereof, that was currently under litigation.

The chancellor updated the senate on the status of the budget and the budget repair bill.

The chancellor provided an update on campus parking. He said that he had reviewed the report on parking changes which had been submitted to the Faculty Senate in March. He has accepted most of the recommendations made by the Campus Transportation Committee. However, he indicated that he is working on a fair/reasonable solution for emeritus parking; is considering lowering the proposed increase for the lowest cost lots; and is asking the Office of Budget, Planning and Analysis to study the possibility of salary-based parking fees.

Professor Pat Wolleat announced that beginning next fall, distribution of the single-page senate agenda will be sent via e-mail only. Senators, alternates, department chairs, deans and directors will continue to receive hard copies of the entire packet. Other wishing to receive the full packet may call the Office of the Secretary of the Faculty and ask that materials be sent to them.

3. Question Period.

Professor James Pawley asked the chancellor about the policy for and how decisions are made in the naming of institutes and buildings.

Professor James Donnelly asked if the University Committee had met with the Board of Regents, and if the committee was satisfied with the response from the regents. The chancellor had previously answered this question (see above).

(continued)

Professor Goran Hellekant suggested that a more secure balloting system for faculty-elected committees should be considered.

AUTOMATIC CONSENT BUSINESS

- 4. The minutes of 4 March 2002 were approved as distributed

REPORTS

- 5/6. Professor John Schaffer submitted for informational purposes the Information Technology Committee (ITC) Annual Reports for 1999-2000 and 2000-2001. 1631
 He indicated that there are two significant issues before the committee: the cost of the campus network; and network security. 1632

There were no questions.

- 7. Professor John Witte submitted for informational purposes the Officer Education Committee Annual Report for 2000-2001 and 2001-2002. 1633

There were no questions.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- 8. The chancellor explained that there were two pending motions regarding collective bargaining on the floor. The original motion was introduced by Professor Steve Bauman, and a motion to amend by substitution was introduced by the University Committee. 1623
 1623a

Professor Wolleat provided background and moved adoption of the University Committee's motion to amend the proposed substitute amendment on collective bargaining legislation. (A handout was distributed at the door.) 1623b

1623c

Professor Robert Wilson raised a point of order regarding Professor Wolleat's arguments in support of the University Committee's motion to amend by substitution rather than limiting her remarks to the motion to amend that she had just introduced. The chancellor asked Professor Wolleat to limit her discussion to the merits of the proposed amendment.

The senate discussed the proposed amendment.

Professor Richard Knowles proposed a friendly amendment changing the last paragraph of the substitute motion. This was accepted by unanimous consent.

The Faculty Senate instructs the University Committee to oppose any legislation concerning collective bargaining that does not:

- (1) provide that the Board of Regents shall have sole responsibility for negotiations and administration of any collective-bargaining agreements for faculty;
- (2) preserve the independence of the Madison faculty by permitting it to elect whether, as a separate and distinct group of individuals apart from other academic employees and from the faculties of other universities in the System, it wishes to engage in collective bargaining;
- (3) permit the faculty of each institution in the System to make the same choices concerning the desirability of collective bargaining and the make-up of the bargaining unit;

(continued)

- (4) subordinate all other provisions of the enabling legislation to the overriding provision that nothing in the law or in bargaining agreements shall be construed or allowed to diminish academic freedom or tenure, or prohibit or restrict the full exercise by the faculty of its functions in any shared-governance mechanisms or practices;
- (5) clarify which faculty (e.g., principal investigators, department chairs, center directors) will be considered supervisory or management personnel;
- (6) exclude from the definition of unfair labor practices the failure to implement improvements in compensation or working conditions gained at a campus regardless of whether the faculty are represented or not, if such improvements are based upon comparisons with comparable higher education institutions or other competitive practices; and
- (7) include effective fact-finding provisions to resolve bargaining impasses.

When legislation that **seems to** meet the above requirements is introduced in the state legislature, a meeting of the UW-Madison faculty will, **upon the recommendation of the University Committee and a vote of the Faculty Senate**, be convened to discuss and determine whether to support such legislation.

Professor Larry Kahan moved to separate the proposed changes to enumerated item 6 from the changes contained in the amended proposed final paragraph. The motion was seconded.

The motion to separate passed by voice vote.

The motion to approve the changes in item 6 passed by voice vote.

The senate discussed the second part of the separate motion.

The second part of the separated motion to amend passed by voice vote.

There was continued discussion of the original motion and the now amended University Committee's substitute motion.

Professor William Scheckler moved to close the debate. The motion was seconded.

The motion to close debate passed by voice vote.

The senate voted on whether to substitute the amended motion for the original motion.

The motion to substitute passed by voice vote.

The senate voted on whether to adopt the substitute motion.

The motion passed by voice vote.

(continued)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

9. Professor Ron Numbers presented the Report of the Name Change of the Department of the History of Medicine to the Department of Medical History and Bioethics. 1634

There were no questions.

The meeting adjourned at 5:14 p.m.

David Musolf
Secretary of the Faculty